

Analysis of Artifacts

Item # 161

"Line of four large stones"

WHO FOUND	Dan Blankenship
WHEN FOUND	1972
WHERE FOUND	In uncleared area of the Island known to Dan Blankenship
FIRSTHAND/ SECONDHAND	
REFERENCES	Letter to David Tobias from Dan Blankenship dated December 13, 1972
LOCATION TODAY	
ODDITY FACTOR	
ASSESSMENT OF AUTHENTICITY	
COMMENTS	Should check this out relative to known lot lines and boundaries of Farmers' Fields.

December 13, 1972

Mr. David Tobias
Jonergin Co., Inc.
6200 Grande Allee
St-Eubert, P. Q.

Dear David:

Last week I went to see M. R. and spent 2½ hrs. with him. Claude picked me up at the airport and carried me back. I brought both up to date concerning our immediate plans.

Most of my time with M. R. was taken up discussing other peoples theories and my opinion of why they couldn't possibly have a magic solution. The reasoning being that they only had a very few things to go on. For instance the one triangle and two drilled stones. Unknown to them we have three more drilled stones uncovered and yet another triangle of stones, plus a line of four large stones in the area cleared and at least two other lines of stones in the uncleared area. Incidentally I've cleared and burned the brush on lot #15 that Nolan screwed up and am presently remarking the original 5 large stone piles. Interesting enough, lines run from these stone piles bi-sect lines run from these large stones where I cleared as well as farther down over the hill where other large stones seem to make a pattern. Even more interesting is a line run from the four large stones in a line to the south hit the triangle dead center. Also, when running a line from the so called pointer of this triangle it hits the head pile of stones on lot #15. All of this has been explained to M. R. and like myself find the coincidence quite remarkable. A pattern is shaping up, but will take 3 - 4 more weeks before I can get it all down on paper. When I am finished, cross-checking, I will send you a diagram and also with your agreement one to M. R..

It's hard to believe after all these years that any original markings would still be left, but of course it is possible.

The papers were left with M. R. and I'm sure he will forward them as he wants to see the drilling done and also clean out #10 and #24. If you haven't received them with-in a week I would call him as Bowmaster will be ready with-in two weeks. The target date is Dec. 26th if the ground is frozen so we can move around with-out getting stuck.

Pg. -2-

The deal worked out with Bowmaster follows:

He will give us \$10,000.00 worth of drilling, of which we will pay \$2500.00 in cash and give him 7500 in shares. Any casing, drillers mud, etc. we will pay at cost. The 6" casing runs \$2.50 per ft. and the 8" casing \$3.75 per ft.. We feel that we need 200' of 6" casing before we start, which of course amounts to \$500.00. The chances are that the only place where we might need 8" casing is in the "money pit" area.

The rate of the large rotary drill is agreed at \$100.00 per hour, and the churn drill at \$25.00 per hour. He must have \$25.00 per hour for the rotary and \$12.00 per hour for the churn drill, in cash, the balance being accepted in shares issued by Triton. The money is to be due each week upon billing. This he was quite adamant about. Obviously, Bill isn't in a very good cash position. Based on the above I should estimate our cash requirement for Bowmaster to be about \$3500.00. This should cover any unforeseens.

In my opinion any other work on 10-X, for instance, pumping the water down and cutting the casing at 165' and 144' must wait upon the results of cleaning out the bottom with the churn drill. Needless to say, our best deal with Bill is with the rotary as it is better than six times faster than the churn drill, consequently the churn drill will be utilized for cleaning out 10-X only.

Bill agrees with me that we should get 8 - 10 six inch holes for our money. Of these, I would like to have three only, the balance going in the area between the old Chappell and Heddon shafts. I hope this is agreeable as these holes could confirm if the stone piles, large stones, drilled stones, triangles, etc. are original. The supposition being that where these various lines bi-sect is the place marked "X". Common sence says they did leave clues, but are these the right ones? Only time will tell.

Hoping Ben and Charles come thru so this program can be started.

The best to all

Daniel C. Blankenship